UPenn Data Bootcamp: Self and Peer Evaluation of Group Project

Please assess the work of you and your colleagues by using the following criteria. We will consider your feedback in assigning the grade for the project. Please try to be as honest and fair as possible in your assessment.

5 = Excellent work; was crucial component to group’s success

4 = Very strong work; contributed significantly to group  
3 = Sufficient effort; contributed adequately to group  
2 = Insufficient effort; met minimal standards of group

1 = Little or weak effort; was detrimental to group\*

\*An assessment of “1” or “0” requires a written explanation.

SELF Evaluation (Name: Lauren Stein):

\_\_5\_\_\_ Participation in developing ideas and planning project

\_\_5\_\_\_ Willingness to discuss the ideas of others  
\_\_5\_\_\_ Cooperation with other group members  
\_\_5\_\_\_ Interest and enthusiasm in project

\_\_5\_\_\_ Participation in leading/facilitating discussion

\_\_5\_\_\_ Ease and familiarity with discussion material

Comments:

PEER Evaluation (Partner 1: Jim Pyle):

\_\_5\_\_\_ Participation in developing ideas and planning project

\_\_5\_\_\_ Willingness to discuss the ideas of others  
\_\_5\_\_\_ Cooperation with other group members  
\_\_5\_\_\_ Interest and enthusiasm in project

\_\_5\_\_\_ Participation in leading/facilitating discussion

\_\_5\_\_ Ease and familiarity with discussion material

Comments:

Jim strength’s is his leadership and communication skills. He had great ideas and keen insights into the code

It was a pleasure working with him

PEER Evaluation (Partner 2: Dylan Jean):

\_\_5\_\_\_ Participation in developing ideas and planning project

\_\_5\_\_\_ Willingness to discuss the ideas of others  
\_\_5\_\_\_ Cooperation with other group members  
\_\_5\_\_\_ Interest and enthusiasm in project

\_\_5\_\_\_ Participation in leading/facilitating discussion

\_\_5\_\_\_ Ease and familiarity with discussion material

Comments:

Dylan provided excellent insight into the game and came up with the viability score which was brilliant. A pleasure to work with

PEER Evaluation (Partner 3: Raj Rana):

\_\_5\_\_\_ Participation in developing ideas and planning project

\_\_5\_\_\_ Willingness to discuss the ideas of others  
\_\_5\_\_\_ Cooperation with other group members  
\_\_5\_\_\_ Interest and enthusiasm in project

\_\_5\_\_\_ Participation in leading/facilitating discussion

\_\_5\_\_\_ Ease and familiarity with discussion material

Comments:

Raj was enthusiastic and went above and beyond the initial scope of the data analysis. Contributed lots of great ideas. A great teammate!!

PEER Evaluation (Partner 3: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_):

\_\_\_\_\_ Participation in developing ideas and planning project

\_\_\_\_\_ Willingness to discuss the ideas of others  
\_\_\_\_\_ Cooperation with other group members  
\_\_\_\_\_ Interest and enthusiasm in project

\_\_\_\_\_ Participation in leading/facilitating discussion

\_\_\_\_\_ Ease and familiarity with discussion material

Comments:

Self-Reflection:  
What did you learn from the experience?

APIs are NOT all created equal. Some are really great, and others have terrible user experience.

What do you think went well?

Analysis, Presentation, Everything! Really enjoyed it

What would you have done differently, given the opportunity?

I wish I could have gotten the Riot API. There was a free version but takes 2 weeks to get access to.

Not confident in my API abilities so would have liked to challenge myself with that.

Do you have any other comments or suggestions about the project?

Despite losing a teammate, our group really pulled together to create an awesome project. We communicated effectively with one another and contributed equally. Each member provided different strengths that combined was a great experience